Global Warming: Behind the Scenes

Mukesh Prasad, 55-NDA India, 1988-Yale USA

Text generated by ChatGPT from text provided by Mukesh Prasad

The Story of Angstrom and Global Warming

There is a fascinating tale behind the name Angstrom. Anyone familiar with the basics of physics would perk up upon realizing they were delving into the writings of the renowned Angstrom. They might ponder, "So, this is some of the groundbreaking work that earned Angstrom the honor of having a wavelength unit named after him?" The NASA individual, it seems, was initially oblivious to Angstrom's significance. To him, it was just something to navigate without much thought. However, upon discovering the name Angstrom, he learned of its substantial standing in the scientific community.

No problem, he figured. He then proposed or stumbled upon the idea that this Angstrom was not THE Angstrom but a cousin. Whether it's true or not, the CO2 analysis is commendable regardless of who conducted it. Yet, considering NASA's modus operandi, one might question if the cousin narrative is entirely genuine. I mean, two brilliant Angstrom cousins excelling in wavelength analysis? If that were the case, wouldn't there be a noteworthy tale circulating in the scientific community about Angstrom being not one but two exceptional cousins? So, my hunch is that the cousin story is a fabrication.

Over time, NASA advocated for the removal and replacement of the Angstrom unit from scientific usage. As of my knowledge, this unit is no longer employed in "accepted" scientific practices.

Regardless, Angstrom was a scientist whose contributions were deemed so fundamental in wavelength analysis that a significant unit bears his name.

NASA GISS scientists and Green House Gases

The NASA scenario unfolds as scientists crafting a Greenhouse Gas (GHG) theory rooted in Blackbody Analysis, all while being oblivious to the very term "Blackbody." They inadvertently incorporated this analysis, unaware of its foundations. When confronted with the term, rather than acknowledging their lack of knowledge, they swiftly initiated a series of cover-ups.

Ignorant of Angstrom's counterargument to the GHG theory and lacking any grasp of wavelength analysis, they failed to recognize the significance of Angstrom's name. Their so-called "refutation" of Angstrom was based on a misunderstanding of "saturation," confusing it with the concept of salt-inwater "saturation."

In an attempt to conceal their fraudulent actions, they went so far as to erase Angstrom's name from historical records.

To me, this narrative unfolds as an astonishing tale of deception, authoritarianism, and a susceptibility to manipulation. The affronts to scientific integrity perpetrated by NASA are truly remarkable. One might expect idealists drawn to NASA to be individuals interested in humanity, the future, and, specifically, science. The divergence from such ideals raises questions about the influence and practices within the organization.